

REMARKS

Claims 1-30 are pending.

The Examiner has required an election. Applicant elects Invention III, claims 11-15 for prosecution in the present application. Furthermore, Applicant elects the species of Group IIIA, claims 11 and 12.

The present election is being made with traverse. Applicant submits that it is well established that:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, *even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions*. M.P.E.P. § 803 (emphasis added).

A proper search for art related to the elected Group would necessarily include the classes and subclasses relevant to a search for the non-elected Groups. This is particularly true with respect to Inventions III and V as evidenced in the Office Action. The Office Action states that Inventions III and V, a system and a method for receiving editorial content, are classified in class 705, subclass 27. Moreover, there is substantial overlap with the subject matter of Invention IV. Thus, examination of all the claims would not present a "serious burden" on the Examiner. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the present restriction requirement be withdrawn,

Based on the foregoing, favorable reconsideration and allowance of the claims is solicited. If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this and concurrent replies to charge payment (or credit any overpayment) to Deposit Account No. 50-2298 for any additional required fees.

Respectfully submitted,

6/10/05
Date



Mitchell P. Brook
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 32,967
LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS LLP
11988 El Camino Real, Suite 200
San Diego, California 92130
Telephone No.: (858) 720-6300

2077675.1